E.K. Wade (Pro Se)
542 North Civic Drive, Apt. D
Walnut Creek, CA 94597
(925) 323-1578
ekpeactime@aol.com

OB APR-4 AM 9:29

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

E/. K. Wade

Case No. C 08-0021 JSW

Plaintiff,

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE MOTION

Elaine Chao, Secretary of Labor U.S. Department of Labor,

Defendants.

3

To Honorable Judge Jeffrey S. White, Defendant Elaine Chao has had more than two years adequate time to properly investigate Plaintiff's claims raised in his complaint. No manner of further discovery can dispute Plaintiff's claims already presented to this Court. To allow Defendant another 90 days just to exacerbate Plaintiff's already stressful condition is simply asinine, stupid, and utterly unfair. Why must Plaintiff continue to suffer due to Defendant's trifling, lazy-assed ways of conducting business? Surely, it should not take Defendants two and one-half years to conduct adequate discovery. Or, should it? If Plaintiff was a Defendant in a murder trial, Plaintiff is certain that he would receive a swift trial with as little fanfare as necessary. Then why, for the love of God can't these Defendants conduct their business in a more expedient way?

E. K. Wade v. Elaine Chao Opposition to Exparte Motion C 08-0021 JSW - 1 Plaintiff humbly pleads with this Court to deny Defendant continuance for the Second Motion hearing for July 31, 2008.

Respectfully submitted.

Dated this 4th day of April 2008

E.K. Wirde

E. K. Wade (Pro Se)
Attorney for Plaintiff
542 North Civic Drive, Apt. D
Walnut Creek, CA 94597
(925) 323-1578
ekpeactime@aol.com

E. K. Wade v. Elaine Chao
Opposition to Exparte Motion
C 08-0021 JSW - 2